Govt. Pr. 49—30,792—27-4-25—[illegible]

Revenue (1924) Department.

Industry SERIES.

G.O. No. 1239

DATED 11- 8. 1924.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Surveys - resurvey - South Kanara

Mangalore taluk

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

3 O.F. 31

CURRENT No.

Received ..... 19 . *Registered* 19

Subject.

Survey - Resurvey - South Kanara District - Mangalore Taluk.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies- .....

Reference NO.G. ~~No.~~ 245/24-3.

Station Madras . *Dated the* 15th April 1924. ~~pgx~~

From

W.Scott Brown, Esq., I.C.S.,
Secretary,
The Board of Revenue, Land Revenue. / Revenue Settlement, etc. / Separate Revenue.

N.Macmichael, Esq., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue and Settlement.

~~Resolution~~ / Reference.

Read the following paper:-

Letter from F.P.Vincent Gompertz, Esq., Director of Survey, R.O.C.No.570-R/F of '23, dated 4th April 1924.

1796 (B) (7) Corrd
7-12-23

Please see the correspondence ending with the Board's Proceedings No.2784 Mis., dated the 17th December 1923. The experimental survey of the two villages No.73 Bondanthila and No.7 Thiruvayil of the Mangalore Taluk shows that in the former 904 out of 1134 holdings and in the latter 629 out of 810 require resurvey either because the areas were (1) incorrect, or boundaries (2) had altered since the initial survey or because survey had been made incorrect The percentage of missing stones in the two villages was respective

5.4 and 10.75, and of other stones found necessary 24.3 and 29.66.

Village and khandam boundaries were not surveyed by theodolite and the village maps cannot be replotted as the record of measurement is insufficient. The atlas of field measurement books shows mostly only perimeter measurements of holdings and the measurements are of course generally insufficient to plot any holding independently; for some fields there are two sets of measurement and it could not be said without a resurvey which set is correct.

Apart from the question of resettlement, I consider a resurvey is necessary in the interest of the ryots as the existing one cannot be maintained and is incorrect.

REFERENCE:

Submitted to Government with reference to paragraph 3 of their order Mis. No.195, dated 1st February 1923 and order No.1796, Rev., dated 7th December 1923.

2. In the circumstances stated by the Director of Survey, the Board recommends that the resurvey of the Mangalore Taluk may be sanctioned.

for Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

S.S./16-4-24

[C No T 830 E/24] From the Board of Rev
(LRS) No. G. 24.5/24-31 dt 15.4.1924.

Survey - Re Survey. South Kanara District.
Mangalore taluk.

To Under Secy,

For the reasons set forth at pp
1-2 C.F. the Board of Revenue recommend
that the re-survey of the Mangalore taluk
may be sanctioned. Attention is in this
Connection invited to Mr Henderson's note
at pp 13-19 of G.O. No 195 Rev. dt 1-2-1923.
He was of opinion that the South Kanara
District excepting the Kasaragod taluk
can manage without a re-survey. The
question as to whether a re-survey is
necessary in Kasaragod taluk is itself
now under consideration. The matter
has been referred to the Board of Revenue
for a further examination. Vide W.O note
at pp 15-16 of N.F. in linked file (C No 608 E/24).
It is submitted that this file may lie
over till orders are passed on the question
of the re-survey of the Kasaragod taluk,
South Kanara Dt (dealt with in the linked
file)

3-5-24

P.K.
28/4/24 SA
2/5/24

Fit. 135 (D)
1-2-23

C No 987 (5)
608 E 1-7-24
24

To Undersecretary

Please see note of the Hon'ble in the top file regarding the resurvey of Kasaragod taluk. In the circumstances the Board's proposal to resurvey Mangalore taluk may be sanctioned.

Pp. 36-37 (5)
+ Disposed of in G.O. 987 Rev 1.7.24 (5)

A draft order is submitted for approval.

8/6/24
27/6/24

(4) In the file above the resurvey of the Kasaragod coast villages & the warg-Sankar Kumeri boundary ~~dispute~~ survey has been decided upon, H. Ms. agreeing that it is essential for the efficiency of the revenue administration as well as for other reasons. For the state of the old Mangalore survey, please see Mr. Gamperle's report at pp 1-2 of The percentage of holdings requiring resurvey in the 2 experimental villages works out at 78.8, and the whole of the village Khandam skeleton is faulty. There seems to be as clear a case here as in Kasaragod.

disposed of in G.O. 987 Rev 1-7-1924. (5)

A.R.P. 29.6.24.

S.

Draft order may be approved. Please see H.M.'s decision re Kasaragod.

26.7.24

S.

G.O. 36 & 37 (5)



V.U.

~~Accepted~~

Hon'ble Minister would like to see.

Minister

28/7/24

Rev G.O. 1830 E/24-1 29.7.24

VS

Rc 2546 E/24
30.7.24

5

Finance (Exp.)

May be perused
Returned to Revenue (The re-settlement of the South Kanara Dt. is not due till the year 1933.)

31.7.24, 31.7.24.

Fbg. 24.7.24 (I)



Rev

Two subcopy
1.8.24

3/2 to Rev

Rev 32784
1.8.24

Rev Dept

To Under Secretary

Submitted for orders as to issue of the Draft order

Su
1/8/24

For circulation.
Ko
-8-24

H. Board perused in the absence of my
Secretary

C.
C.
1/8/24

Circd. 7/8.
[illegible] 11/8

Yrs.
my
6.8.24

11/8/24

Rsh
9/8/24

Scott. II-10 (a)—48,962—2-2-22. (6)

6 Revenue DEPARTMENT.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied		
When examined		
When signed		
When despatched		

READ AGAIN G.O No. ..... , dated ..... 192 .

" " " ..... " ..... 192 .

READ ALSO—

C. No. 1830 E/24 From the Board of Rev (KRS), No. G. 245/24-3, dated 15-4 1924.

" " ..... " " ..... " " ..... 192 .

" " ..... " " ..... " " ..... 192 .

ORDER

No. 1239, dated 11-8- 1924.

Mis

The Government sanction the re-survey of the Mangalore taluk in the South Kanara district.

Ag
2/8/24

DESPATCHED
13 AUG 1924

The Board of Rev (KRS)

P.K.
25/6/24

S.u
27/6/24